

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/660,492 09/12/2003 Kenneth K. Li 2138-295 6352 03/01/2004 EXAMINER 6449 7590 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. ROBINSON, MARK A 1425 K STREET, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 2872

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/660,492	LI, KENNETH K.	1	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mark A. Robinson	2872		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	••	
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the period for reply will, the period for reply will, the set of extended period for reply will, the period for reply will will be period for reply will be p	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.	
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.			
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
5)					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.				
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/ar aloation requirement			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	alid/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
,	The specification is objected to by the Ex				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection			047.0	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		opplication No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			3	
	application from the International				
* (See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not	received.		
 -					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date		
. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	6) (Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
•	- 		,		

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 15 does not end with a period.

Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 9/12/03 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it does not list the references on a new, non-initialed PTO-1449 or equivalent form. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3,5,7-9 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Li 5707131.

Li shows in fig. 2 an optical device and method therefore including first (M1) and second (M2) concave opposing reflectors each having first and second focal points (S1, S2; S2, I) on a common optical axis (y), wherein the reflectors are about the same size and shape, are either ellipsoids or toroids of revolution (col. 1 line 33), and have diameters which are greater than the distance between the source and target (see also figs. 4 and 5), further wherein the system includes a retro-reflector (see fig. 4) on the opposite side of the source as the first reflector.

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4,6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li.

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Li does not specifically teach spherical or additional non-ellipsoidal portions for the reflectors. However, Li suggests use of any concave shape in col. 3 lines 3-4 and reflectors having each of these shapes are well known. It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to include such reflector shapes in Li's optical system in order to provide for such features as correction of particular types of aberrations (e.g. coma) or allowance for the spatial constraints of the particular optical arrangement being utilized, such considerations being routinely contemplated by those of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 10, although not taught by Li, image sources are commonly used in mirror projection systems. It would have been obvious to illuminate an image source with Li's optical system in order to enable image projection.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6634759.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are merely broader than or are obvious variations of the claims of the patent.

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Baker, Sharpe and Hunter show optical systems with opposing mirrors sharing a common optical axis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

2/11/04

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER